

AVENIR DU SECTEUR PIERREFONDS-OUEST

Réponses reçues dans le cadre de

« Votre opinion en ligne : AVENIR DU SECTEUR PIERREFONDS-OUEST –

VISION PROPOSÉE PAR LA VILLE »

Du 28 avril au 15 mai 2017

VISION PROPOSÉE PAR LA VILLE

Dans le cadre de la consultation publique, la Ville de Montréal a mis au jeu une vision pour le secteur Pierrefonds-Ouest qui propose un équilibre entre la conservation des milieux naturels et le développement d'un nouveau quartier. Alors que près de la moitié du secteur serait protégée, le reste serait destiné aux milieux de vie, notamment grâce à la construction d'un boulevard urbain entre le boulevard Gouin Ouest et l'autoroute 40.

Cette vision s'appuie sur 12 principes de mise en valeur du territoire que voici :

1. Préservation d'un corridor écologique viable et d'une grande biodiversité
2. Aménagement des noues
3. Intégration de l'agriculture urbaine
4. Protection du parcours riverain du boulevard Gouin Ouest
5. Atteinte d'une densité et d'une diversité résidentielles viables
6. Aménagement d'un noyau multifonctionnel dynamique et accessible
7. Mise en réseau des parcs et espaces verts
8. Création d'un réseau viaire efficace et convivial
9. Qualité du domaine public
10. Développement d'une architecture exemplaire et évocatrice
11. Lutte aux îlots de chaleur
12. Gestion écologique des eaux pluviales

Questions pour guider votre réflexion :

- Que pensez-vous de la vision pour le secteur Pierrefonds-Ouest proposée par la Ville de Montréal?
- Quelles sont, selon vous, les 3 priorités pour l'aménagement du secteur Pierrefonds-Ouest? Et pourquoi?
- Si tout était possible, quel serait votre souhait le plus cher pour le secteur Pierrefonds-Ouest?

Réponses sur la VISION

Par ordre de soumission

1. *“The West Island politicians (Pfds-Rox) and City of Mtl are violating its mandate to leave 10% of the area as preserved greenspace. We are fortunate to have deer and many other species of wildlife living with us and I wish it would be left as is. It's just going to turn into ugly urban sprawl, more noise, pollution and traffic headaches. The area already built near the end of Pfds Blvd looks ugly as well as on Gouin with huge houses and no lots. It will NOT be affordable housing as Mr Beis claims. Many apartments are vacant throughout the territory and new condos empty. This is just a money grab. Presently the city cannot maintain its streets, repairs nor give decent services. Let us be free to escape into the woods without raping more of cohabitants with natural wildlife, and keeping some of our air clean. Smog is already present in Montreal. I prefer you rip down old buildings and use existing lots. You vision is removing wetlands and building on swamp areas! As a Pierrefonds citizen, I want the area preserved as is. The island is FULL!”, Monique Codner, 28-04-2017*

2. *“My fervent desire for the proposed Pierrefonds West development is that it be completely rejected in favor of preservation of the entire L'Anse à l'Orme natural area which is the largest left on the island of Montreal. This area needs to be saved as habitat and for the benefits that nature provides for West Islanders. There is no business case or need for this development. It does NOT conform with the PMAD and neither the City of Montreal or Pierrefonds have the right to develop it. Development on a flood plain is foolish and irresponsible.”, Yvonne Hiemstra, 28-04-2017*

3. *« Tout cela n'est pas nécessaire et l'on sait que l'urbanisme non contrôlé avec tous les exemplaires les que les n voit à Montréal exemple Parc Jean Drapeau et autres entraîne des changements néfastes et polluants. Je suis contre ce projet qui privilégie l'argent et va condamner des milliers d'animaux et de la nature. », Jean-Noel Lecerf, 30-04-2017*

4. *“I have no opposition to this plan”, Elianna Pappas, 01-05-2017*

5. *"I do not approve of the plan. We have already encroached upon the natural habitat of many animals in this area. I would prefer the land be kept as greenspace. If I understand the map above (which is too tiny to read much of the text) There is not enough left as conservation zone. As I live in Kirkand West I am very interested in this project. I moved here to get away from the city.", Dani Knezevic, 02-05-2017*

6. *"I oppose the development of the L`Anse à L`Orme site. My reasons are outlined in a variety of reports, memoranda and academic papers submitted to the borough by Sauvons L`Anse à L`Orme and other groups opposed to this development.*

I wish to highlight two issues of concern to Pierrefonds residents, particularly those living in Pierrefonds West.

1. *Notwithstanding the light rail transit project, neighbourhood vehicular traffic will increase exponentially, particularly on the secondary and tertiary streets east of the project, rendering the area more dangerous for pedestrians, particularly families with young children. Our street, Roger, unlit and without sidewalks, is already impassable during rush hour as residents bypass St. Charles and Antoine Faucon to access the Autoroute or return home.*

2. *Pierrefonds will incur major capital costs to provide services to the project, such that any net financial benefit will accrue many decades in the future. The taxpayer will certainly incur significant costs resulting from the occasional flooding of this project given that it is being constructed in wetlands which function as spillway, marsh land and environmental sponge for the St. Lawrence. Given the current flooding and the incalculable damage it has caused to private and public property, it is inconceivable that the borough would contemplate construction in a flood plain located adjacent a river which drains a water shed containing ¼ of the world`s fresh water supply. Notwithstanding its shorter length and 1/3 smaller drainage area, the St. Lawrence drains more water than the entire Missouri/Mississippi river system.", Andrew Jude, 15-05-2017*